

4TH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY

GOVERNHO DE PORTUGAL
MINISTÉRIO DA SAÚDE

Instituto Nacional de Saúde
Dr. Ricardo Jorge

INSTITUTO UNIVERSITÁRIO EGAS MONIZ

ARE CELLULOSE NANOFIBERS SAFE FOR BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS?

TESTING THE SAFETY OF TWO TYPES OF NANOCELLULOSE FIBERS FOR APPLICATION IN BONE REGENERATION

Madalena Vilar^{1,2,3}, Ana Filipa Lourenço⁴, Célia Ventura^{3,5}, Henriqueta Louro^{3,5}, Paulo Ferreira⁴, Sérgio Félix^{1,2}, Maria João Silva^{3,5}

¹Instituto Universitário Egas Moniz, Caparica, Portugal; ²Centro de Investigação Interdisciplinar Egas Moniz, Caparica, Portugal; ³Department of Human Genetics, National Institute of Health Doutor Ricardo Jorge (INSA), Lisboa, Portugal; ⁴CIEPQPI, department of Chemical Engineering, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ⁵Centre for Toxicogenomics and Human Health (ToxOmics), Nova Medical School-Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

Departamento de Genética Humana, INSA, Lisboa

“There is plenty of room at the bottom”
Feynman, 1959

NANOSCALE

1 nm to 100 nm

NANOMATERIAL

“A natural, incidental or manufactured material containing particles, in an unbound state or as an aggregate or as an agglomerate and where, for 50 % or more of the particles in the number size distribution, one or more external dimensions is in the size range 1 nm - 100 nm.”

European Commission (2011)


NANOMATERIAL

MACROMATERIAL

Same chemical composition but distinct physicochemical characteristics -> improved mechanical, optical, electric and magnetic properties

Louro, Borges & Silva (2013)

CELLULOSE



Rebouillat & Pia (2013)

- Affordable
- Abundant
- Renewable

Halib *et al.* (2017)

NANOCELLULOSE (ORIGINS)

- Plants (cellulose in association with lignin and hemicellulose)
- Bacteria (*Acetobacter*, *Agrobacterium*, *Alcaligenes*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium* e *Sarcina*)
- Fungi
- Algae

Halib *et al.* (2017)

NANOCELLULOSE (PRESENTATIONS)

- Nanocellulose nanocrystals (CNC)
- Cellulose nanofibers (CNF)
 - Diameter of 20 to 40 nm
 - Length of micrometers
 - High aspect ratio -similar to carbon nanotubes and asbestos

Ilves *et al.* (2018), Halib *et al.* (2017) & Nordli, Chinga-Carrasco, Rokstad & Pukstad (2016)

NANOCELLULOSE (BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS)

- Wound dressings
- CNC and CNF
 - Burn wounds with excessive fluid loss
 - Capability of maintaining a wet environment
 - Delivery of bioactive substances such as antibiotics and anti-inflammatory drugs

Halib *et al.* (2017)

NANOCELLULOSE (BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS)

Cartilage regeneration and replacement

- Cartilage is avascular, it has limited potential for healing
- Porous, mimics extracellular matrix and allows diffusion of nutrients
- CNF used as bioink for 3D printers

Halib *et al.* (2017)

NANOCELLULOSE (BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS)

Bone and periodontal regeneration

- Bone loss associated with age
- High aesthetic demands
- CNC and CNF
- Great mechanical properties for scaffolds and membranes
- Porous, mimics extracellular matrix and allows diffusion of nutrients and cell proliferation

Andrei, Dinischiotu, Didilescu, Ionita & Demetrescu (2017) & Halib *et al.* (2017)

NANOTOXICOLOGY

- Greater presence means higher exposure risk in all phases of a nanomaterial's life cycle
- Inhalation is the most important route of exposure
- CNF have a high aspect ratio
- Oral exposure is also a concern
- Because of their size these particles are able to reach organs such as the liver and the brain through the blood and lymphatic systems

Schmalz, Hickel, Landuyt & Reichl (2017), Carriere, Sauvaigo, Douki & Ravanat (2016) & Louro, Borges & Silva (2013)

NANOTOXICOLOGY

- Nanomaterials are able to accumulate in practically every cell in the organism
- Once they penetrate the cells, some are able to enter the nucleus, having direct or indirect effects on the genetic material
- Two paradigms about nanotoxicology:
 - Inflammatory potential
 - Oxidative stress/genotoxicity
- Different physicochemical characteristics will alter pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

Fornaguera & García-Celma (2017), Carriere, Sauvaigo, Douki & Ravanat (2016) & Louro, Borges & Silva (2013)

OBJECTIVE

- To analyze the safety of two CNF, obtained from *Eucalyptus globulus*, in bone producing cells – osteoblasts -, in order to be used in bone and periodontal regeneration procedures
- Evaluation of the cytotoxic effects through the MTT assay (Mosmann, 1983)
- Evaluation of the genotoxic effects through the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay (Fenech, 2007)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

NANOCELLULOSE PRODUCTION

- Two samples obtained from industrial bleached eucalyptus kraft pulp
 1. CNF-TEMPO – mechanical treatment followed by TEMPO-mediated oxidation (Saito & Isogai, 2007)
 2. CNF enzymatic – mechanical treatment followed by an enzymatic hydrolysis with endoglucanases (Tarrés *et al.*, 2016)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MG-63 (ATCC® CRL-1427™): human osteoblasts obtained from an osteosarcoma

VIABILITY ASSAYS – MTT

- According to Mosmann (1983)
- Exposure to concentrations from 1.5 to 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$
- 24h and 48h exposure



GENOTOXICITY ASSAYS – MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY

- According to Fenech (2007)
- Exposure to concentrations from 1.5 to 12.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$

RESULTS


NANOCELLULOSE PRODUCTION


Sample	Batch	Dry content (%)	Yield (%)	DP
TEMPO	1	0.83	82.4	1177
Enzymatic	1	0.83	8.2	185
TEMPO	2	0.77	100.0	364.5
Enzymatic	2	0.85	*	1472.3
*not determined				



RESULTS

CYTOKINESIS-BLOCK MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY (CBMN)





DISCUSSION

- **Non cytotoxic for all concentrations and exposure times**, consistent with results obtained by Ventura, Lourenço, Sousa-Uva, Ferreira & Silva (2018), Souza *et al.* (2018), Lopes, Martinez, Strømme & Ferraz (2017), Rashad, Mustafa, Heggset & Syverud (2017), Nordli, Chinga-Carrasco, Rokstad & Pukstad (2016) & Pereira *et al.* (2013)
- Non-consistent with the results found by Ilves *et al.* (2018), who noticed a decrease in THP-1 macrophages cell viability post CNF enzymatic exposure

DISCUSSION

- Higher frequency of micronucleated cells for the two lowest concentrations, exactly as reported by Ventura, Lourenço, Sousa-Uva, Ferreira & Silva (2018) in their study with A549 cells exposed to *Eucalyptus globulus* CNF TEMPO.
- Lima *et al.* (2012) noticed DNA damage, as well, but using other assays.
- Catalán *et al.* (2016) didn't report higher frequency of micronuclei following exposure to CNF TEMPO obtained from fir tree.

DISCUSSION

- Higher frequency of nucleoplasmatic bridges for the two lowest concentrations, not reported by any authors.

CONCLUSION

- None of the studied CNF induced a loss of cell viability
- Both CNF demonstrated genotoxic potential, inducing the formation of chromosomal damage
- More endpoints need to be assessed in vitro, e.g., gene mutations, and in vivo, e.g. comet or micronucleus assay
- Although they show great potential to be used in the biomedical field, these two CNF still have to suffer changes in their production method in order to be considered a safe material

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- Grupo de I&D em Toxicologia Genética
- ToxApp4NanoCELL1 , 2018-2021 - PTDC/SAU-PUB/32587/2017
- ToxOmics - Centro de Investigação em Toxicogenómica e Saúde Humana - UID/BIM/00009/2013

