

**Abstracts of the ISPD 22nd International Conference on
Prenatal Diagnosis and Therapy**

Antwerp, Belgium

8–11 July 2018

Disclaimer

This abstract book has been produced using author-supplied copy. Editing has been restricted to some corrections of spelling and style where appropriate. No responsibility is assumed for any claims, instructions, methods or drug dosages contained in the abstracts: it is recommended that these are verified independently.

CONTENTS

October 2018 • Volume 38 • Supplement 1

- 3 Oral Abstracts of the ISPD 22nd International Conference on Prenatal Diagnosis and Therapy, Antwerp, Belgium, July 8–11, 2018
- 20 Poster Abstracts of the 22nd International Conference on Prenatal Diagnosis and Therapy, 8–11 July 2018, Antwerp, Belgium
- 115 ISPD 2018 Abstract Author Index

P1-9 | 47,XY,+del (X)(q21.31)/46,XY mosaicism in prenatal diagnosis—Case report of a rare event

Cristina Ferreira¹; Ana Tarelho¹; Bárbara Marques¹; Sílvia Serafim¹; Sónia Pedro¹; Ângela Ferreira²; Hildeberto Correia¹

¹Instituto Nacional de Saúde Doutor Ricardo Jorge, Lisbon, Portugal;
²Serviço de Obstetria/Diagnóstico Pré-Natal, Hospital de Faro E.P.E., Faro, Portugal

Objectives: Aneuploidies involving the sex chromosomes are the most common anomalies in humans. In many cases, these anomalies are present in mosaic and may involve either the whole chromosome or just part of it. These anomalies constitute a challenge in prenatal diagnosis because it is generally very difficult to establish a reliable genotype-phenotype correlation. Here, we report a rare event of a mosaic in which one cell line carries an additional abnormal X chromosome, with a terminal deletion at q21.31 region, and a normal XY constitution in the majority of the cells.

Methods: A healthy 36-year-old G1P1 woman was referred for prenatal diagnosis at 11 + 5 weeks of gestation for increased nuchal translucency. Chorionic villus biopsy was performed, and molecular rapid aneuploidy result indicated an anomalous situation for the X chromosome in a male fetus. As the material was not sufficient to establish a culture, an amniocentesis was performed at 17 + 3 weeks and karyotyping and microarray were performed in order to characterize the anomalous result.

Results: The results obtained indicated the presence of a mosaic involving an extra X chromosome with a terminal deletion, [47,XY,+del (Xq)46,XY.arr [GRCh37] Xp22.33q21.31(169921_89283237)x1-2], which is compatible with a Klinefelter syndrome variant.

Conclusions: Pregnancies affected by X chromosome aneuploidies diagnosed prenatally are at an increased risk of adverse fetal and neonatal outcomes. High quality information is critical for informed decision-making in pregnancy following a prenatal diagnosis of sex chromosome aneuploidy.

P1-11 | High resolution chromosomal microarrays in the general prenatal population: Pathogenic CNVs and VOUS in a 2016 state-wide cohort

Jane Halliday; Alice Poulton; Lisa Hui

Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Parkville, VIC, Australia

Objectives: Since the publication of a landmark study by Wapner et al¹ showing the advantages of a targeted chromosomal microarray (CMA) over karyotype for prenatal diagnosis, CMA utilization has expanded rapidly. The yield of CMA and rates of variants of uncertain/unknown significance (VOUS) vary according to the CMA platform and indications for testing. This study aimed to analyse the diagnostic yield of whole genome high resolution SNP CMA in a state-wide cohort and compare this to the yield reported in the 2012 study.

¹Wapner R, et al. Chromosomal microarray versus karyotyping for prenatal diagnosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:2175-84.

Methods: Data on all amniocenteses and CVS done in 2016 in the state of Victoria, Australia, were analysed. G-banded karyotype or CMA analysis with Affymetrix Cytoscan 750K (0.2 Mb resolution) was performed according to request by the clinical referrer. Results were analysed according to indication for testing and type of abnormality, specifically pathogenic copy number variant (pCNV), abnormality detectable by karyotyping, or VOUS. The major indications for prenatal diagnosis were ultrasound abnormalities, first trimester combined screening (FTC), non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT), history of chromosome abnormality, single gene testing, and advanced maternal age (AMA). Statistics were performed in STATAv14.

Results: Of the 1468 samples in 2016, 83.2% (n = 1221) were analysed by CMA and 16.8% by karyotype. The results of the CMA group included 29 (2.4%) pCNVs, 68 (5.6%) VOUS, and 190 (15.6%) other major abnormalities detectable by karyotype (aneuploidies and large deletions). The highest rate of pCNVs occurred in those tested for ultrasound abnormality (3.2%), which was not significantly different to that reported by Wapner (2.8%). Rates of pCNVs by other indications were 1.2%, 0.8%, and 1.9% for FTC, NIPT, and other combined indications, respectively. The upward trend in annual total chromosome abnormalities in our population continued in 2016 (n = 363).

Conclusions: Our overall rate of pCNVs was significantly higher than the 0.9% previously reported, though the yield for ultrasound-indicated diagnosis was not significantly different. Likely factors contributing this difference include more specific indications for testing in our population, such as fewer for AMA alone and different CMA platforms. Also, as time progresses, a previously assigned VOUS may become a pCNV (or benign variant) when linked to a phenotype. The expansion of CMA to our general population has maintained high detection rates at a time of declining procedures. Our rates of VOUS are relatively high, posing challenges for the genetic counselling workforce.

P1-12 | ATAD3A deletions: A challenge in prenatal diagnosis

Mariette Hoffer¹; Cacha Peeters-Scholte²; Tamara Koopmann³; Phebe Adama van Scheltema⁴; Frans Klumper¹; Sheila Everwijn¹; Marije Koopmans¹; Sylke Steggerda¹; Marjo van der Knaap⁵; Frank Baas¹; Gijs Santen¹; Claudia Ruivenkamp¹

¹Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ²Dept of Neurology, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands; ³Dept of Clinical Genetics, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁴Dept of Obstetrics and Fetal Diagnosis, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁵VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Objectives: The ATAD3 gene cluster is part of the ATPase family AAA-domain containing proteins consisting of three paralogs, ATAD3A, ATAD3B, and ATAD3C located in tandem on chromosome 1p36.33. The ATAD3 genes encode mitochondrial membrane proteins that contribute to the stabilization of large-mitochondrial protein complexes. Recently, deletions in the ATAD3 gene cluster