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ABSTRACT

Background: Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH) is a monogenic disorder characterised by high LDL-C concentrations and increased cardiovascular risk. However, in clinically defined FH cohorts worldwide, an FH-causing variant is only found in 40-50% of the cases. The aim of this work was to characterise the genetic cause of the FH phenotype in Portuguese clinical FH patients.

Methods and Results: Between 1999 and 2017, 731 index patients (311 children and 420 adults) who met the Simon Broome diagnostic criteria had been referred to our laboratory. LDLR, APOB, PCSK9, APOE, LIPA, LDLRAP1, ABCG5/8 genes were analysed by PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing. The 6-SNP LDL-C genetic risk score (GRS) for polygenic hypercholesterolaemia was validated in the Portuguese population and cases with a GRS over the 25th percentile were considered to have a high likelihood of polygenic hypercholesterolaemia. An FH-causing mutation was found in 39% of patients (94% in LDLR, 5% APOB and 1% PCSK9), while at least 29% have polygenic hypercholesterolaemia and 1% have other lipid disorders.

Conclusions: A genetic cause for the FH phenotype was found in 503 patients (69%). All known causes of the FH phenotype should be investigated in FH cohorts to ensure accurate diagnosis and appropriate management.

Keywords: Familial hypercholesterolaemia; monogenic dyslipidaemia; polygenic hypercholesterolaemia; genetic risk score; phenocopies.
INTRODUCTION

Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH) is an autosomal dominant condition characterised by substantially increased plasma concentrations of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) from birth, leading to premature atherosclerosis\(^1\). FH is one of the most common inherited disorders associated with premature coronary heart disease (pCHD), with a frequency around 1:250 in most populations\(^2\).

The genetic causes of FH are pathogenic variants mainly in the LDL receptor gene (\(LDLR\))\(^1\) or apolipoprotein B gene (\(APOB\))\(^3\)–\(^5\), and gain-of-function mutations in the proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 gene (\(PCSK9\))\(^6\). However, an increasing number of FH phenocopies are being identified and a few individuals with a clinical diagnosis of FH have been found to have rare variants in other genes, such as apolipoprotein E (\(APOE\))\(^7\), ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 5 or 8 (\(ABCG5/8\))\(^8\) or lysosomal acid lipase (\(LIPA\))\(^9\). Recently, studies have reported that a significant proportion of clinically-diagnosed FH patients where a disease-causing mutation was not found are likely to have a polygenic cause for their hypercholesterolaemia, due to the inheritance of a greater-than-average number of common LDL-C raising single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with a cumulative effect, leading to an increase in LDL-C above the recommended FH diagnostic criteria\(^10\)–\(^11\). Even in patients with a disease-causing mutation, this polygenic contribution to the FH phenotype is also present, contributing to a variable phenotype in patients with the same FH-causing mutation\(^11\). While originally 12 SNPs were used to define the polygenic contribution, a reduced 6-SNPs score has been found to provide adequate information\(^10\)–\(^12\).
In terms of cardiovascular risk assessment, it is of particular clinical value to distinguish between monogenic and polygenic dyslipidaemia, since for all LDL-C intervals the cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk has been demonstrated to be higher in FH patients with a causative mutation compared to patients with the same LDL-C concentrations\textsuperscript{13}. This highlights the concept of length of time of LDL-C exposure, or LDL-C “burden”\textsuperscript{14}. Because of this, monogenic FH patients warrant treatment with high intensity and effective lipid-lowering therapy to decrease their CVD risk, while those with a polygenic or environmental dyslipidaemia have a lower CVD risk and can be managed, for example by encouraging lifestyle and dietary changes, and with the use of more moderate dosage of lipid-lowering therapies.

In clinically-defined FH cohorts worldwide, an FH-causing variant is found in only 40-50\% of the cases, although the prevalence of genetically identified FH patients will vary due to differences in molecular diagnostic methodologies, and also to differences in the clinical criteria applied\textsuperscript{1,4,15–17}. In the remaining 50\% of the cases, the cause for the hypercholesterolaemia must be sought, in order to offer appropriate management and prognosis advice to implement appropriate interventions for CVD prevention. Here, we report the characterisation of the FH phenotype in the Portuguese FH Study cohort. We also validated the 6-SNP LDL-C genetic risk score (GRS)\textsuperscript{10,11} in the Portuguese population.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Portuguese FH Study is a research project coordinated by the National Institute of Health (INSA) supported mainly by external funds and free of charge for all patients and
health institutions. INSA Ethical Committee and the National Data Protection Commission previously approved the study protocol and database. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before their inclusion in the study.

2.1. Study population

A total of 887 index patients were enrolled in the Portuguese FH Study from 1999 to 2017, referred from different clinical specialties with a clinical suspicion of FH. In the present report, we only included 731 index patients (311 children and 420 adults) with a clinical diagnosis of FH according to the Simon Broome (SB) criteria, as previously described\textsuperscript{18}, with a single adaptation - individuals aged 16-18 were included with the SB criteria for children due to the mild phenotype seen in FH patients within this age range. All adult index cases included in this study fulfilled SB criteria prior to inclusion, 410 possible FH and 10 definite FH. The Dutch Lipid Clinic Network Score (DLCNS) for FH was applied to 390 of the 420 adults from the Portuguese FH Study. For the remaining 30 it was not possible to estimate the DLCNS value, due to missing LDL-C values. A total of 382 adults fulfilled DLCNS of definite (>8) (n=82), probable (>6) (n=169) or possible (>3) (n=131) FH and 8 are unlikely FH (<3). Additionally, 1777 relatives (393 children and 1384 adults) were referred to the Portuguese FH Study cascade-screening program (with or without a clinical diagnosis of FH).

2.2. Monogenic dyslipidaemia analysis
Genetic diagnosis was performed by the molecular analysis of \textit{LDLR} (including the study of splice regions and large rearrangements), \textit{APOB} (two fragments of exons 26 and 29), and \textit{PCSK9} genes, as previously reported\textsuperscript{19}. Selected patients (Supplementary Table 1), where a variant was not found in the previously studied genes, were further investigated for other monogenic causes of dyslipidaemia; this was performed by polymerase chain reaction and Sanger sequencing of the following genes: \textit{APOE}, \textit{LIPA}, \textit{LDLR} adapter protein 1 (\textit{LDLRAP1}), \textit{ABCG5} and \textit{ABCG8}. Sequences were analysed with Staden software\textsuperscript{20} and the references used for analysis were NM\_000527 for \textit{LDLR}, NM\_000384 for \textit{APOB}, NM\_174936 for \textit{PCSK9}, NM\_000041 for \textit{APOE}, NM\_015627 for \textit{LDLRAP1}, NM\_022436 for \textit{ABCG5}, NM\_022437 for \textit{ABCG8} and NM\_000235 for \textit{LIPA}. Complementary DNA numbering was considered according to the Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) nomenclature\textsuperscript{21} with nucleotide c.1 being A of the ATG initiation codon p.1. For one case the molecular study of the albumin gene (\textit{ALB}) was performed, but in an external laboratory\textsuperscript{22}.

All variants were checked with Mutalyzer 2.0, as recommended by Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS). Variants were classified as pathogenic, likely pathogenic, variant of uncertain significance (VUS), likely benign or benign, according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines\textsuperscript{23} following specific adaptations described in Chora \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} The variants reported in the present study were considered novel if they were not described before in public databases\textsuperscript{25,26} or in PUBMED, and novel for Portugal if they were found for the first time in Portugal, but have been previously reported in another country. \textit{In silico} analysis was performed as described before\textsuperscript{27}. 
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Since 2012, our laboratory has participated in an external quality assessment (EQA) programme managed by the European Molecular Genetics Quality Network (EMQN) that provide accreditation on basis of the ISO 17043.

2.3. Polygenic hypercholesterolaemia analysis

A total of 1318 genomic DNA samples from the e_COR Study28 and 410 index cases from the Portuguese FH Study from which quality DNA was available (168 children and 242 adults) were sent to aScidea Computational Biology Solutions Company (Barcelona, Spain) to be genotyped for a set of 6 SNPs, using the OpenArray™ technology (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, US).

The LDL-C GRS was calculated using the 6 SNPs previously reported in the characterisation of polygenic hypercholesterolaemia, namely, cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass G-type receptor 2 (CELSR2)/ sortilin 1 (SORT1) (rs629301), APOB (rs1367117), ABCG5/8 (rs4299376), LDLR (rs6511720) and APOE (rs7412 and rs429358) and respective effect sizes (weighted sum of beta-coefficients of the risk allele)11 (Supplementary Table 2).

The e_COR Study population28 was used as reference group for the validation of the LDL-C GRS in the Portuguese population. LDL-C scores were distributed into quarters; individuals below the 25th percentile (P25th) were considered as having low polygenic score, between the P25th and the 75th percentile (P75th), intermediate polygenic score, and above the P75th, high polygenic score. The GRS cut-offs were applied to the Portuguese FH Study population accordingly.
2.4. Biochemical characterisation of lipids and lipoproteins

For both cohorts, The Portuguese FH Study and e_COR study, the biochemical tests for Total Cholesterol (TC), direct LDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG), Apolipoprotein A1 (apoA1), and Apolipoprotein B (apoB) were performed by enzymatic colorimetric and immunoturbidimetric methods. Serum levels of lipoprotein (a) [Lp(a)] were determined by an immunoturbidimetric method, as previously described\(^\text{27}\).

2.5. Correction factors regarding lipid-lowering therapy

Whenever untreated lipid values (TC, LDL-C and apoB) for individuals under statins medication were not available, these were estimated using correction factors. Therapy is expected to decrease the values of TC, LDL-C\(^\text{13,29,30}\) and apoB\(^\text{31}\) by 20%, 30% and 23.7%, respectively. Untreated TG, HDL-C and apoA1 values were not estimated, since the effects of lipid-lowering therapy with statins are not significant on these traits\(^\text{32,33}\).

2.6. General statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.1.2) software. For comparison of mean concentrations of lipids, lipoproteins and LDL-C GRS values between independent groups, the non-parametric Two-sample Wilcoxon or Kruskal-Wallis tests were applied for two or more independent samples, respectively. When the trait distributions did not fail the assumptions of normality (Shapiro-Wilk or Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests) and homogeneity of variance (Bartlett test), the parametric ANOVA or Student t tests were applied for two or
more independent samples. For comparison of proportions, the 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used and when the two CI did not overlap, it was considered that there was evidence to conclude that the proportions are statistically different. In the remaining cases (overlap of the two proportions confidence intervals), the two proportions were compared using chi-square or Fisher's tests. The multiple of median (MoM) was calculated for the LDL-C, TG and apoB measured values to analyse how far those values deviated from the median of a reference population. For phenotype versus genotype analysis the biochemical values at referral were used (corrected as described when necessary).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Demographic and clinical data

Demographic and clinical data on cardiovascular disease risk factors of all index cases are shown in Supplementary Table 3, including the non-treated lipid profile (when available), and the complete fasting lipid profile performed at our Institute for all individuals at referral to the Portuguese FH Study. Mean age (years) at referral was 9.94 (± 3.69) for children and 45.67 (± 13.32) for adults. Approximately 20% of the children were under pharmacological treatment at referral compared with 75% of the adults. The majority (>95%) of the patients are of Portuguese nationality distributed over all regions of Portugal.

3.2. Monogenic dyslipidaemia

A total of 731 index cases were analysed for LDLR, APOB and PCSK9 genes. In 282 (39%) patients (128 children and 154 adults) a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant was
found, including 3 true homozygous and 6 compound heterozygous (2 children and 7 adults); these will be referred as FH mutation positive (FH/M+) subjects (Supplementary Table 4). In 399 (54%) patients (159 children and 239 adults) no potential pathogenic variants were found; these will be referred as FH mutation negative (FH/M-) subjects. Additionally, 18 children and 26 adults were found to have a VUS. Based on phenotype (Supplementary Table 1), selected FH/M- patients were analysed for other possible causes of monogenic dyslipidaemia; 6 cases were found to have another monogenic dyslipidaemia.

3.2.1. Familial Hypercholesterolaemia

In about 39% of all index patients (n=282/731), at least one pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant was identified in the LDLR, APOB or PCSK9 genes. In the paediatric index cohort (n=311), 41% individuals had genetically heterozygous FH (HeFH) (n=126) or homozygous FH (HoFH) (n=2). In the adult index cohort (n=420), 37% individuals had genetically HeFH (n=147) or HoFH (n=7). A VUS in the LDLR and APOB genes were found in 6% of children (n=18) and 6% of adults (n=26), corresponding to 35 individuals with a VUS in the LDLR and 9 individuals in the APOB gene. The cascade-screening programme led to the additional identification of 116 HeFH children, 314 HeFH adults and 1 HoFH adult. Additionally, 38 relatives (7 children and 31 adults), had a VUS.

Since our last report in 201527, 8 LDLR novel variants have been identified in our cohort (5 never described before and 3 described in other countries, but novel for Portugal). All variants have already been submitted to ClinVar (Supplementary Table 4 and 5). From these,
only 3 variants are considered pathogenic or likely pathogenic: c.2214del/p.(Gln739Serfs*26), c.941-2A>C, and c.1897C>T/p.(Arg633Cys).

For both children and adults, the demographic, clinical and biochemical profile of the FH/M+ group were compared to the FH/M- group, and are presented in Table 1. Patients with a VUS, homozygous patients and patients with other monogenic causes (discussed in the next section) were not included in this analysis. Although all FH/M- patients have a clinical phenotype compatible with a diagnosis of FH, they have lower mean levels of TC, LDL-C, non-HDL-C, apoB, and apoB/apoA1 ratio, and higher levels of HDL-C and TG, than the FH/M+ group. These differences are more evident in the paediatric cohort. Also, the percentage of adult FH/M- patients with hypertriglyceridaemia (defined as TG ≥200 mg/dL) was higher than in the FH/M+ patients (20% (n=47/235) versus 8.33% (n=12/44), P=0.002). The opposite was observed for apoB, a higher number of FH/M+ patients (87.85% (n=123/140)) had apoB ≥120 mg/dL compared to FH/M- (64.94% (n=150/231), P<0.001).

No significant differences were observed for Lp(a) values. In adults, there are no statistically significant differences for CVD, premature CVD or family history of CVD between FH/M+ and FH/M- individuals (Table 1).

The mean lipid concentrations of the FH/M+ index and FH/M+ relatives presented significant differences: TC, LDL-C, apoB, non-HDL-C and apoB/apoA1 ratio were statistically higher in index cases, except in the paediatric cohort where the apoB values and apoB/apoA1 ratio did not differ between these two groups (Supplementary Table 6).
3.2.2. Other monogenic disorders

In selected FH/M- patients (Supplementary Table 1), disease-causing variants in the \textit{APOE}, \textit{LIPA}, \textit{ABCG8} and \textit{ALB} genes were found in 6 index patients (five children and one adult). Three children had lysosomal acid lipase deficiency (LALD) (due to homozygosity for the c.894G>A variant in \textit{LIPA}), as previously published\cite{9}, one had sitosterolemia (due to homozygosity for the c.1974C>G variant in \textit{ABCG8}) and one had congenital analbuminemia (due to heterozygosity for the c.1289+1G>A variant in \textit{ALB})\cite{22}. An additional child had an heterozygous stop variant in \textit{APOE} (c.683G>A) that has been associated in the homozygote state with dysbetalipoproteinemia\cite{34}, so it was not considered as causative (Supplementary table 5). In the adult cohort, one individual was heterozygous for a variant in \textit{APOE} (c.487C>T), previously associated with autosomal dominant hypercholesterolaemia\cite{35} (Supplementary table 5). Additionally, one relative (child) was also found to have LALD and one (adult) sitosterolaemia\cite{9}.

3.2.3. Overall monogenic dyslipidaemia

A molecular cause of monogenic dyslipidaemia was found in 39\% (n=288/731) of all index cases with an FH phenotype. In the monogenic dyslipidaemia paediatric group, 91\%
had an FH-causing variant in LDLR, 5% in APOB, 1% in PCSK9, 2% in LIPA, 1% in ALB and 1% in ABCG8. In the adult group, 93% had an FH-causing variant in LDLR, 5% in APOB, 1% in PCSK9 and 1% in APOE. Altogether, other monogenic causes represent 2% (6/288) of monogenic hypercholesterolaemia index cases in our cohort, with a non-significantly (p=0.32) higher proportion in children (4%, 5/133) (Figure 1).

3.3. Polygenic hypercholesterolaemia

The mean value of the LDL-C GRS calculated in the e_COR population was 0.62 (±0.22) with a mean LDL-C of 135.8 mg/dL (±46.34) [3.51 mmol/L (± 1.20)] (supplementary Figure 1). The distribution of the LDL-C concentration values by GRS percentiles in e_COR is shown in supplementary Table 7. Individuals above the P75th of LDL-C GRS (0.76) had significantly higher LDL-C (P<<0.001) than individuals below the P25th (LDL-C GRS 0.51) (supplementary Figure 2). When comparing the mean GRS values between Portuguese e_COR and UK Whitehall II (WHII) controls10, no significant differences were found (0.62 (SD 0.22) CI=[0.61-0.63] versus 0.63 (SD 0.22) CI=[0.62-0.64], respectively).

Of all 731 clinical FH index cases, the LDL-C GRS was calculated for 410 individuals from whom DNA was available, 168 children and 242 adults. Compared with e_COR controls, both FH/M- (0.73±0.18) and FH/M+ (0.69±0.19) patients had higher mean LDL-C GRS (P<<0.001) (Supplementary Figures 2-3). In this small sample, no statistically
significant differences were found comparing FH/M- and FH/M+ patients. In the paediatric cohort, the mean value was 0.73 (± 0.17) for FH/M- (n=92) and 0.71 (± 0.19) for FH/M+ (n=76), and in the adult cohort was 0.72 (± 0.19) for FH/M- (n= 151) and 0.68 (± 0.20) for FH/M+ (n=91).

The distribution of the GRS in the paediatric FH/M- was: 13% (n=12) below the P25th, 21.7% (n=20) between P25th and P50th; 22.8% (n=21) between P50th and P75th and 42.4% (n=39) above the P75th (Figure 2). In the adult FH/M- cohort the distribution of the GRS was: 10.6% (n=16) below the P25th, 19.9% (n=30) between P25th and P50th; 29.1% (n=44) between P50th and P75th and 40.4% (n=61) above the P75th (Figure 2).

3.4. Overall proportion with an identified genetic cause for the FH phenotype

Overall, including both patients with homozygous (n=9) and heterozygous FH (n=273) we have identified a monogenic cause for the FH phenotype in 39% (n=282/731) of patients. We also found 6 patients with other monogenic disorders: 3 with lysosomal acid lipase deficiency (LALD), 1 with sitosterolaemia, 1 with albuminuria and 1 with dysbetalipoproteinemia, representing 0.8% (n=6/731) of all patients. All adults that were tested for polygenic dyslipidaemia fulfilled both the DLCN and SB clinical criteria, so genetic diagnosis rate was the same.

For the FH/M- patients for whom the LDL-C GRS was determined (92 children and 151 adults), 39 children and 61 adults had a polygenic score above P75th, which represents 14%
Of overall patients in the study (n=731), while an additional 15.7% of overall (41 children and 74 adults) had an intermediate polygenic score (Figure 3 and supplementary Figure 4). Of the remaining FH/M- patients, 28 (12 children and 16 adults) presented a score below P25th and are therefore most likely to have an unknown or unidentified cause of monogenic dyslipidaemia (Figure 3 and supplementary Figure 4). By including LDL-C GRS analysis and sequencing of genes involved in other monogenic dyslipidemias we increased the identification rate from 41% to 68.5% in the paediatric cohort and from 37% to 69% in the adult cohort (Figure 3).

4. DISCUSSION

Portuguese FH Study

For the index cases referred to the Portuguese FH Study, in 69% we have identified the cause of hypercholesterolaemia: 39.4% with monogenic hypercholesterolaemia (38.6% have FH and 0.8% other monogenic causes), 16% with intermediate polygenic hypercholesterolaemia score and 14% with high polygenic hypercholesterolaemia score. The variants of unknown significance will need further characterization to be confirm or refute their causality of disease, if all VUS are indeed pathogenic (found in 6%), the total number of positives cases with a monogenic disorder will increase to 45% and the total identification rate to 75%. Cascade screening was performed for relatives of FH cases and 430 relatives were identified with heterozygous FH, one was a compound heterozygous, and 38 relatives with VUS. For individuals with an intermediate or high polygenic score, cascade screening was not performed. Futema and colleagues described that a cascade screening for
individuals with an intermediate or high polygenic score is less cost effective, since, as compared to the 50% of mutation carriers seen in first degree relatives of monogenic families, only ~30% of relatives are likely to have LDL-C elevated above the diagnostic threshold.

From 2014 to 2017, a total of 10 novel variants were identified in our cohort, 5 never described before in association with FH, showing that the cause of FH in Portugal is heterogeneous, with more than 140 different variants (mainly in the \textit{LDLR}), and that new variants are still being found, as reported for other populations.

In the Portuguese FH Study only if a variant is classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic (ACMG), or if the variant is designated as a VUS, only after functional \textit{in vitro} assessment with proof of affected function, the clinician is informed that the variant found confirms or is consistent with the clinical diagnosis. Due to the establishment of functional studies in our lab\textsuperscript{37,38}, the majority of the mutations found in the Portuguese population (120/142) have a classification of pathogenic or likely pathogenic following ACMG guidelines\textsuperscript{24}, and this results in more than 80% of our cohort of FH/M+ patients having a molecularly confirmed FH diagnosis. The implementation of functional studies as an adjunct to work in diagnostic laboratories improves the genetic diagnosis of FH, and should be encouraged worldwide.

The presence of xanthomas following SB criteria attributes a definite FH diagnosis. Interestingly we have observed a low rate of xanthomas even in adults (7.5%) when compared to other European populations, including our neighbours the Spanish population.
In our clinical FH children, so far no xanthomas have been identified, not even in the homozygotes. The short exposure time may be a justification, but considering that in adults the percentage is low, we believe that the Mediterranean diet may be acting as a protective factor against the development of xanthomas.

Correctly identifying the cause of dyslipidaemia

In studies from many different countries, in 50-60% of individuals with a clinical diagnosis of FH the molecular cause of their hypercholesterolaemia could not be identified. Talmud et al raised the possibility that the majority of those where no mutation could be found in LDLR/APOB/PCSK9 were likely to have a polygenic aetiology, while Wang and colleagues suggested that in some cases, the FH phenotype could be due to variants in other genes yet to be described, other genes of lipid metabolism, interactions between known genes, variants inaccessible by the currently sequencing techniques, epigenetics or even environmental factors per se. Taking this into consideration, our group started to analyse other monogenic and polygenic causes of hypercholesterolaemia in patients with a clinical diagnosis of FH, but without an identified FH causing variant. This has led to an increase in the genetic diagnosis of dyslipidaemia in additional 30% of patients (from 38.6% to 68.8%).

In the great majority of the index patients, the cause of the dyslipidaemia is explained by a functional LDLR variant (36%), 1.9% by a functional APOB variant and 0.4% by a functional PCSK9 variant. Additionally, in at least 29% of patients, a polygenic dyslipidemia is the probable cause and in 0.8% other monogenic disorders are the cause of the phenotype. These other causes are more prevalent in children, representing 1.6% of all cases. It is worth
noting that other causes are more prevalent than PCSK9 mutations (0.4%), reinforcing the need to study these FH phenocopy genes, so as to allow a more complete diagnosis and management. There are considerable differences in the treatment of these different molecular causes of the FH phenotype.

Higher Lp(a) has also been described as a possible FH phenocopy, but in our sample, although the FH/M+ patients had higher median concentrations of Lp(a) than the FH/M- group, the differences were not statistically significant, so Lp(a) concentrations do not seem to contribute to the clinical phenotype of FH in our sample. However, it is possible that increasing the number of individuals with measures of Lp(a) concentrations may identify a group of patients where this trait is the cause of the clinical diagnosis of FH.

Our results are also consistent with previous studies showing that FH negative patients have higher mean LDL-C GRS than individuals from the general population, meaning that their LDL-C plasma levels is most likely to be due to the influence of a combination of several LDL-C variants, each with modest effect. In this paper we have used the 6-SNP GRS and used a cut-off of greater than the 25th percentile as being highly likely for an individual to have a polygenic cause of their FH phenotype. This is based on statistical modelling that was carried out previously10,11 and as has recently been described in more detail36. The analysis shows that the probability of a polygenic cause for elevated LDL-C in FH/M-individuals with a score above the 25th percentile is >98% for a range of frequencies of an unknown monogenic cause (modelled as between 0.001-0.01). This fully justifies that, except for those with a SNP score in the lowest 25%, all other FH/M subjects have a high probability of a polygenic case explaining their FH phenotype.
A limitation of our study is that not all FH/M- patients were genotyped for the polygenic score (only 243/398 were analysed) due to DNA constraints, so the proportion of patients with polygenic hypercholesterolaemia could only be estimated. For some comparison analysis in the polygenic dyslipidaemia, the small sample size could imply bias. Although it is considered as a limitation of our study, our results are in line with the previously reported\textsuperscript{10,11}. Also, especially for adults, the untreated TC, LDL-C and apoB values had to be estimated for those undergoing lipid-lowering therapy and this might imperfectly estimate the untreated values due to the heterogeneity in drug response, dosing and variability in baseline lipid values. However, the 30\% reduction in LDL-C and 20\% in TC was implemented in previous studies\textsuperscript{13,29,31}.

Interestingly, a similar proportion of patients above the P75\textsuperscript{th} was found in the FH/M+ and the FH/M- group, a finding which was reported previously\textsuperscript{10}. This suggests that the FH phenotype in FH/M+ patients could be modulated by the modest effect of these LDL-C raising variants, at least at some level, however we did not find a statistical difference in LDL-C values, partly because of the selection criteria and partly due to the small sample size.

\textit{Unknown dyslipidaemia in the FH mutation negative patients}

Twenty eight FH/M- patients presented a low LDL-C GRS (below the P25\textsuperscript{th}) and are likely to have other unknown or unidentified cause of monogenic dyslipidaemia. It is likely that in a small fraction of these patients a new gene causing FH is yet to be discovered, although other possibilities should be considered, such as interactions between known genes or epigenetics. However, and since the mean triglyceride levels are statistically higher in
FH/M- patients, in these cases environmental factors _per se_ could be the cause of the phenotype\(^\text{39}\), or these patients could have another dyslipidaemia related to triglycerides metabolism and not FH.

**Importance of distinguishing the different causes of dyslipidaemia**

Monogenic dyslipidaemias present a severe phenotype and are associated with an elevated CVD risk _per se_, like FH, while mild to severe dyslipidaemias are mostly due to polygenic hypercholesterolaemia, as a result of various genetic alterations that may interact, as well as being modulated by non-genetic factors as life style\(^\text{40}\). The distinction between these two types of dyslipidaemia is important for patient cardiovascular risk assessment and therapeutic management. It has been shown that FH patients with a pathogenic variant have 16 times greater cardiovascular risk compared to another individual with the same LDL value\(^\text{13}\), but this risk can be reduced if FH patients are identified early in life and treated accordingly. This shows the importance of correctly identifying the cause of dyslipidaemia in early age and of addressing other cardiovascular risk factors in childhood, to reduce CVD rates later in adulthood.

**CONCLUSIONS**

With the strategy presented, it was possible to identify the cause of the hypercholesterolaemia in 503 patients representing 69% of our cohort. This work shows that the FH phenotype can be caused by several different genotypes, especially in paediatric cohorts. The correct identification of the cause of the dyslipidaemia is important for patient management and implementation of the best therapeutic measures for the best patient.
prognosis. Our data support the view that the genetic diagnosis of clinical FH patients would benefit from the inclusion of all the genes studied in this work and to include the LDL-C GRS in a next-generation target panel. Such a panel is already implemented in our laboratory, and will not have a significant increase in cost. This has also been already recommended in the last consensus paper authored by international FH experts\(^1\). Investigation of other genes causing the FH phenotype in established FH cohorts should be encouraged.
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Legends of Figures

Figure 1 – Percentage of the different monogenic causes of dyslipidaemia in children (A) and adults (B). (A) 91% of children had an FH-causing variant in \textit{LDLR}, 5% in \textit{APOB}, 1% in \textit{PCSK9}, and 4% of all children had other monogenic causes. (B) 93% of adults had an FH-causing variant in \textit{LDLR}, 5% in \textit{APOB}, 1% in \textit{PCSK9} and 1% of adults had other monogenic causes.

Figure 2 - Distribution of general population (e_COR) and FH/M- adults and children by GRS.

Figure 3 – Number of index cases, children and adults, referred to the Portuguese Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH) Study with Simon Broome FH clinical criteria divided by the different causes of the FH phenotype and percentages of identification rate by group and total. FH refers to patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in either \textit{LDLR}, \textit{APOB} or \textit{PCSK9}, intermediate GRS (genetic risk score) to patients with a LDL-C GRS between P25th and P75th, high GRS to patients with GRS above P75th. Other monogenic causes are: in children, 3 with lysosomal acid lipase deficiency (mutation in the \textit{LIPA} gene), 1 with sitosterolaemia (mutation in the \textit{ABCG8} gene) and 1 with analbuminemia (mutation in \textit{ALB} gene); in adults, 1 with an autosomal dominant hypercholesterolaemia (mutation in the \textit{APOE} gene).
**Table 1** Clinical, demographic and biochemical profile of children and adult index cases in the Portuguese Familial Hypercholesterolaemia Study with (FH/M+) and without (FH/M-) an identified mutation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and demographic profile</th>
<th>Paediatric cohort (n=285)a</th>
<th>Adult cohort (n=386)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>FH/M-</strong></td>
<td><strong>FH/M+</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (SD)*</td>
<td>9.77 (3.5)</td>
<td>10.0 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, n (%)</td>
<td>63 (39.6)</td>
<td>63 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (SD)*</td>
<td>20.3 (4.3)</td>
<td>18.9 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (1.89)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.63)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal history of CVD, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal history of pCVD, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of pCVD, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (16.4)</td>
<td>24 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological treatment (e.g.</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lipid profile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>239.2 (211-259)</td>
<td>273.4 (245-302)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>156.9 (135-178)</td>
<td>203.6 (157-238)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>57.2 (49-68)</td>
<td>59.9 (42-58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>84.5 (65-119)</td>
<td>65.0 (54-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB, mg/dL IQR†</td>
<td>99.5 (85-122)</td>
<td>129.5 (113-151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoA1, mg/dL IQR†</td>
<td>150.0 (136-174)</td>
<td>135.0 (115-147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL-C, mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>172.0 (153-194)</td>
<td>216.1 (192-253)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB/ApoA1 ratio, mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5-0.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.85-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp(a), mg/dL (IQR)†</td>
<td>32.0 (9-80)</td>
<td>24.6 (10-44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FH, Familial Hypercholesterolaemia; FH/M-, FH mutation negative; FH/M+; FH FH/M+; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; pCVD, premature cardiovascular disease; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ApoB, apolipoprotein B; ApoA1, apolipoprotein A1; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a); SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; ND, Not determined; NS, Not statistically significant (P value >0.05). Age and BMI are expressed as mean (SD), while biochemical lipid values are expressed as median (IQR). *Only heterozygous patients with pathogenic or likely-pathogenic variants were considered as FH/M+. Homozygous FH and patients with variants of uncertain significance (VUS) were excluded. †Lipid profile determined in house. Correction factors were used to estimate untreated values for TC, LDL-C, and apoB, as described in the Material and Methods section of our study. Statistical significance (P value <0.05), in bold.

*This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.*
731 index patients with clinical diagnosis of FH according to the Simon Broome criteria

- 311 Children
  - 41.2% FH
  - 13.2% Intermediate GRS
  - 12.5% High GRS
  - 1.6% Other monogenic causes
  - 68.5%

- 420 Adults
  - 36.7% FH
  - 17.6% Intermediate GRS
  - 14.5% High GRS
  - 0.2% Other monogenic causes
  - 69.0%